11-10-04

"Express Mail" mailing label number EV 314 910 000 US

Reposit: November 8, 2004

Accenture Case No. 01089-00/US Brinks Hofer Case No. 10022/217

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
G. Guyan et al.)	
Serial No. 09/667,637)	Examiner: V. Frenel
Filing Date: September 22, 2000)	Group Art Unit No. 3626
For LINE ITEM DATA PROCESSING)	
)	

RESPONSE

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Response is in answer to the Office Action mailed July 6, 2004.

<u>REMARKS</u>

35 U.S.C. § 103 A.

Claims 1-11 1.

In the Office Action mailed July 6, claims 1-11 were rejected under 35 USC §103 as being obvious in view of Rowse et al. and Montegna et al. Applicants traverse this rejection. Independent claim 1 recites both "enabling the selection of a payment type" and "fulfilling the payment of a line item based on the evaluation of the line item data." Rowse et al. fails to disclose the recited "enabling" and "fulfilling." The Office Action has conceded this. The